

## 2007 Mid Year Performance Measures for Indiana

Privileged Communication  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
Public Health Emergency Preparedness  
Program Announcement #: AA154

Reported as of: 04/30/2008 ( Submitted )

Performance Measure 2A		
Question #	Question Text	Answer
<b>1</b>	<b>PFGE subtyping for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7</b>	
<b>1a</b>	Did the State public health laboratory perform PFGE subtyping for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	Yes
<b>1c</b>	How many <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 reference or clinical isolates did the State public health PFGE laboratory <b>receive</b> from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	31
<b>1d</b>	Of the <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 reference or clinical isolates that the State public health PFGE laboratory received, <b>were any sent to another laboratory or laboratories outside of the State</b> for PFGE subtyping?	No
<b>1e</b>	For how many <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 reference or clinical isolates did the State public health PFGE laboratory <b>perform PFGE subtyping</b> from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	31
<b>1f</b>	How many of the PFGE results for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 reference or clinical isolates for which the State public health PFGE laboratory performed PFGE subtyping from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 were submitted to the PulseNet database within 4 working days?	31
<b>1g</b>	Click the button to calculate and display the percentage of the State's <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 PFGE subtyping data results submitted to the PulseNet national database within 4 working days of receipt of isolate at the PFGE laboratory:	100 %
<b>2</b>	<b>PFGE subtyping for <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i></b>	
<b>2a</b>	Did the State public health laboratory perform PFGE subtyping for <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	Yes
<b>2c</b>	How many <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> reference or clinical isolates did the State public health PFGE laboratory <b>receive</b> from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	1
<b>2d</b>	Of the <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> reference or clinical isolates that the State public health PFGE laboratory received, <b>were any sent to another laboratory or laboratories outside of the State</b> for PFGE subtyping?	No
<b>2e</b>	For how many <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> reference or clinical isolates did the State public health PFGE	1

	laboratory <b>perform PFGE subtyping</b> from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	
<b>2f</b>	How many of the PFGE results for <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> reference or clinical isolates for which the State public health PFGE laboratory performed PFGE subtyping from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 were submitted to the PulseNet database within 4 working days?	1
<b>2g</b>	Click the button to calculate and display the percentage of the State's <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> PFGE subtyping data results submitted to the PulseNet national database within 4 working days of receipt of isolate at the PFGE laboratory:	100 %

Performance Measure 6A																		
Question #	Question Text	Answer																
1	Has the public health agency conducted a drill or exercise from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 of the notification/acknowledgement process for key response partners (as defined above under " <b>Definitions and Other Guidance</b> ") without using electric grid power and primary land-line telephone service?	Yes																
2	How many drills or exercises did the public health agency conduct from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	2																
3	<p>Report all relevant drills or exercises conducted from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 up to a total of 3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the public health agency conducted 1 drill or exercise, report 1 below.</li> <li>• If the public health agency conducted 2 drills or exercises, report 2 below.</li> <li>• If the public health agency conducted 3 drills or exercises, report 3 below.</li> <li>• If the public health agency conducted more than 3 drills or exercises, select 3 drills or exercises to report below.</li> </ul> <p>Answer the following questions for each drill or exercise reported.</p>																	
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Question Legend</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Label</th> <th>Question Text</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3a)</td> <td>Date drill or exercise ended (Reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3b)</td> <td>Provide a description of communication system used, including whether notification was simultaneous or sequential or both, and the key response partners involved.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3c)</td> <td>Was the drill or exercise conducted outside normal business hours?</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3d)</td> <td>Type of drill or exercise</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3e)</td> <td>Was the drill unannounced?</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3f)</td> <td>Did the agency record response times of each partner in the following categories: (a) 0:00 to 15:00 minutes, (b) 15:01 to 30:00 minutes, (c)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Question Legend		Label	Question Text	3a)	Date drill or exercise ended (Reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008)	3b)	Provide a description of communication system used, including whether notification was simultaneous or sequential or both, and the key response partners involved.	3c)	Was the drill or exercise conducted outside normal business hours?	3d)	Type of drill or exercise	3e)	Was the drill unannounced?	3f)	Did the agency record response times of each partner in the following categories: (a) 0:00 to 15:00 minutes, (b) 15:01 to 30:00 minutes, (c)
Question Legend																		
Label	Question Text																	
3a)	Date drill or exercise ended (Reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008)																	
3b)	Provide a description of communication system used, including whether notification was simultaneous or sequential or both, and the key response partners involved.																	
3c)	Was the drill or exercise conducted outside normal business hours?																	
3d)	Type of drill or exercise																	
3e)	Was the drill unannounced?																	
3f)	Did the agency record response times of each partner in the following categories: (a) 0:00 to 15:00 minutes, (b) 15:01 to 30:00 minutes, (c)																	

	<b>3h)</b>	Number of key response partners who did not respond										
	<b>3i)</b>	Number of key response partners who responded in 0:00 to 15:00 minutes										
	<b>3j)</b>	Number of key response partners who responded in 15:01 to 30:00 minutes										
	<b>3k)</b>	Number of key response partners who responded in 30:01 or more minutes										
	<b>3l)</b>	Click the button to calculate and display the total number of response partners to whom communication was sent according to your responses above. If this total does not match your records, please check your answers in each of the above categories:										
	<b>Drill or Exercise</b>	<b>3a</b>	<b>3b</b>	<b>3c</b>	<b>3d</b>	<b>3e</b>	<b>3f</b>	<b>3h</b>	<b>3i</b>	<b>3j</b>	<b>3k</b>	<b>3l</b>
	1	01/15/2008	We conducted an 800 MHz radio test to all key district staff and every county in districts 5,7,8, 9 and 10. This was a follow up due to some response with the December exercise.	No	Drill	No	Yes	8	42	5	0	55
	2	12/18/2007	We conducted an 800 MHz radio test to all key district staff and every county.	No	Drill	No	Yes	10	89	2	1	102

Performance Measure 6B		
Question #	Question Text	Answer
1	Has the accuracy of the primary, secondary, and tertiary contact information for all 8 ICS functional roles been confirmed at least once from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	Yes
2	Did the public health agency notify staff to cover all 8 ICS core functional roles due to a drill, exercise, or real incident from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	Yes
3	How many times did the agency notify staff to cover all 8 ICS core functional roles due to a drill, exercise, or real incident from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	2
4	<p>Report all relevant drills, exercises, or real incidents that occurred from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 up to a total of 6:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 notifications occurred, report each of them below.</li> <li>If more than 6 notifications occurred, select 6 drills, exercises, or real incidents to report below.</li> </ul> <p>Answer the following questions for each notification reported.</p>	
Question Legend		

Label	Question Text
4a)	Was the notification the result of a drill, exercise, or real incident?
4b)	Was the notification outside normal business hours?
4c)	Was the notification unannounced?
4d)	Date and time the public health director or designated official began notifying pre-identified primary staff (secondary or tertiary staff as needed) (Reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008)
4e)	Date and time that the last primary staff person (secondary or tertiary staff as needed) to fill all 8 ICS functional roles acknowledged notification (Reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008)
4f)	Click the button to calculate and display the time to notify all primary staff (secondary or tertiary staff as needed) with public health agency ICS functional responsibilities that the public health agency's Emergency Operations Center (EOC) is being activated:
4g)	Why did it take longer than 60 minutes for pre-identified staff to acknowledge notification?

  

Notification	4a	4b	4c	4d	4e	4f	4g
1	Real Incident	No	Yes	02/19/2008 9:30 AM	02/19/2008 10:00 AM	30	
2	Drill	No	Yes	02/19/2008 8:45 PM	02/19/2008 10:00 PM	75	We had one person that was driving and could not respond within the time frame.

Performance Measure 6C		
Question #	Question Text	Answer
1	Was the public health agency's EOC activated due to a drill, exercise, or real incident from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	Yes
3	How many times was the public health agency's EOC activated due to a drill, exercise, or real incident from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	1
4	<p>Report all relevant drills, exercises, or real incidents that occurred from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 up to a total of 6:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 activations occurred, report each of them below.</li> <li>If more than 6 activations occurred, select 6 drills, exercises, or real incidents to report below.</li> </ul> <p>Answer the following questions for each activation reported.</p>	

Question Legend						
Label	Question Text					
4a)	Was the activation the result of a drill, exercise, or real incident?					
4b)	Was the activation unannounced?					
4c)	Provide a description of the scenario or real incident that led to the public health agency's EOC activation.					
4d)	Date and time the public health director or designated official began notifying pre-identified primary staff (secondary or tertiary staff as needed) that the public health agency's EOC was being activated (Reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008)					
4e)	Date and time that the last primary staff person (secondary or tertiary staff as needed) to fill all eight ICS functional roles signed in at the EOC (Reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008)					
4f)	Click the button to calculate and display the time for primary staff (secondary or tertiary staff as needed) with public health agency ICS functional responsibilities to report for duty at the public health agency's Emergency Operation Center (EOC):					

  

EOC Activation	4a	4b	4c	4d	4e	4f
1	Real Incident	Yes	There was a radiological threat in the Louisville area. Even though it was considered a prank, we activated the DOC in the event it became an actual incident.	02/19/2008 9:30 AM	02/19/2008 10:00 AM	30
2						

Performance Measure 9A		
Question #	Question Text	Answer
1	Has the public health agency submitted for clearance within the public health agency any AAR/IPs for exercises or real incidents when the public health agency responded in either a lead or assisting agency role from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	Yes
3	How many AAR/IPs for exercises or real incidents did the public health agency submit for clearance from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	2
4	<p>Report all relevant AAR/IPs for exercises or real incidents, when the public health agency responded in either a lead or assisting agency role, that were submitted for clearance within the public health agency from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008, up to a total of 6:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 AAR/IPs were submitted, report each of them below.</li> <li>If more than 6 AAR/IPs were submitted, select the 6 AAR/IPs that contain the most important public health-related corrective actions and report</li> </ul>	

them below.

Answer the following questions for each AAR/IP reported.

#### Question Legend

Label	Question Text
4a)	Was the AAR/IP written as a result of an exercise or real incident?
4b)	Provide a description of the exercise scenario or real incident.
4c)	AAR/IP format
4d)	Which agency was the lead response agency for the exercise or real incident?
4e)	How many political jurisdictions were involved in the exercise or real incident?
4f)	Date of the day following the end of the exercise or the end of the public health emergency response operations as determined by the Incident Commander <i>(The exercise or real incident may have occurred before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i>
4g)	Date draft of AAR/IP was submitted for clearance within the public health agency <i>(This date must occur during the current reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008.)</i>
4h)	Click the button to calculate and display the time to complete a draft of an AAR/IP:

4a)	Was the AAR/IP written as a result of an exercise or real incident?
4b)	Provide a description of the exercise scenario or real incident.
4c)	AAR/IP format
4d)	Which agency was the lead response agency for the exercise or real incident?
4e)	How many political jurisdictions were involved in the exercise or real incident?
4f)	Date of the day following the end of the exercise or the end of the public health emergency response operations as determined by the Incident Commander <i>(The exercise or real incident may have occurred before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i>
4g)	Date draft of AAR/IP was submitted for clearance within the public health agency <i>(This date must occur during the current reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008.)</i>
4h)	Click the button to calculate and display the time to complete a draft of an AAR/IP:

AAR/IP	4a	4b	4c	4d	4e	4f	4g	4h
1	Real Incident	In July 2007 a botulism outbreak and investigation resulted in a nation-wide recall of 91 food items. Local health departments statewide were requested to conduct audits of retail food outlets and other venues to see if recalled product was still available for sale.	ISDH Outbreak Investigation Format	State (or territory or Freely Associated State of the Pacific) public health agency	92	08/08/2007	08/31/2007	23
2	Real Incident	In August 2007 the ISDH responded to potential MRSA outbreak. A confirmed outbreak of methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus Aureus occurred among members of a high school football team. The ISDH collaborated with school officials, team physician, and the local health department to provide appropriate infection control measures, educational information, and media interviews.	ISDH Outbreak Investigation Format	State (or territory or Freely Associated State of the Pacific) public health agency	2	08/25/2007	09/13/2007	19

#### Performance Measure 9B

Question #	Question Text	Answer																								
1	Following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in an After-Action Report/Improvement Plan (AAR/IP), did the public health agency re-evaluate response from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008?	Yes																								
3	How many drills, exercises, or real incidents occurred from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 that served to re-evaluate public health response following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in an AAR/IP?	2																								
4	<p>Report all relevant re-evaluations that occurred from 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008 up to a total of 6:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 re-evaluations occurred, report each of them below.</li> <li>If more than 6 re-evaluations occurred, select the 6 most important re-evaluations of public health response and report them below.</li> </ul> <p>Answer the following questions for each re-evaluation.</p>																									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Question Legend</th></tr> <tr> <th>Label</th><th>Question Text</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>4a)</td><td><i>The following set of questions pertains to the AAR/IP identifying the corrective action(s) to be completed prior to the re-evaluation of public health response.</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>4b)</td><td>Was the AAR/IP written as a result of an exercise or real incident?</td></tr> <tr> <td>4c)</td><td>Provide a description of the exercise scenario or real incident reported in the AAR/IP.</td></tr> <tr> <td>4d)</td><td>Which agency was the lead response agency for the exercise or real incident?</td></tr> <tr> <td>4e)</td><td>How many political jurisdictions were involved in the exercise or real incident?</td></tr> <tr> <td>4f)</td><td>Date of the day following the end of the exercise or the end of the public health emergency response operations as determined by the Incident Commander <i>(The exercise or real incident may have occurred before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>4g)</td><td>Date the public health agency approved public health corrective action(s) identified in the AAR/IP <i>(The corrective action(s) may have been approved before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>4h)</td><td>Provide a description of the area of public health response needing improvement identified in the AAR/IP and the completed corrective action(s).</td></tr> <tr> <td>4i)</td><td>Date drill or exercise was initiated, or a real incident began, that served to re-evaluate public health response following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in the AAR/IP <i>(This date must occur during the current reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008.)</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>4j)</td><td>Click the button to calculate and display the time to re-evaluate public health response following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in an AAR/IP:</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Question Legend		Label	Question Text	4a)	<i>The following set of questions pertains to the AAR/IP identifying the corrective action(s) to be completed prior to the re-evaluation of public health response.</i>	4b)	Was the AAR/IP written as a result of an exercise or real incident?	4c)	Provide a description of the exercise scenario or real incident reported in the AAR/IP.	4d)	Which agency was the lead response agency for the exercise or real incident?	4e)	How many political jurisdictions were involved in the exercise or real incident?	4f)	Date of the day following the end of the exercise or the end of the public health emergency response operations as determined by the Incident Commander <i>(The exercise or real incident may have occurred before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i>	4g)	Date the public health agency approved public health corrective action(s) identified in the AAR/IP <i>(The corrective action(s) may have been approved before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i>	4h)	Provide a description of the area of public health response needing improvement identified in the AAR/IP and the completed corrective action(s).	4i)	Date drill or exercise was initiated, or a real incident began, that served to re-evaluate public health response following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in the AAR/IP <i>(This date must occur during the current reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008.)</i>	4j)	Click the button to calculate and display the time to re-evaluate public health response following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in an AAR/IP:	
Question Legend																										
Label	Question Text																									
4a)	<i>The following set of questions pertains to the AAR/IP identifying the corrective action(s) to be completed prior to the re-evaluation of public health response.</i>																									
4b)	Was the AAR/IP written as a result of an exercise or real incident?																									
4c)	Provide a description of the exercise scenario or real incident reported in the AAR/IP.																									
4d)	Which agency was the lead response agency for the exercise or real incident?																									
4e)	How many political jurisdictions were involved in the exercise or real incident?																									
4f)	Date of the day following the end of the exercise or the end of the public health emergency response operations as determined by the Incident Commander <i>(The exercise or real incident may have occurred before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i>																									
4g)	Date the public health agency approved public health corrective action(s) identified in the AAR/IP <i>(The corrective action(s) may have been approved before the current reporting cycle [i.e., before 08/31/2007] or during the current reporting cycle [08/31/2007-02/29/2008].)</i>																									
4h)	Provide a description of the area of public health response needing improvement identified in the AAR/IP and the completed corrective action(s).																									
4i)	Date drill or exercise was initiated, or a real incident began, that served to re-evaluate public health response following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in the AAR/IP <i>(This date must occur during the current reporting cycle: 08/31/2007 to 02/29/2008.)</i>																									
4j)	Click the button to calculate and display the time to re-evaluate public health response following approval and completion of corrective action(s) identified in an AAR/IP:																									

Exercise/ Incident	4b	4c	4d	4e	4f	4g	4h	4i	4j
1	Real Incident	In July 2007 a botulism outbreak and investigation resulted in a nation-wide recall of 91 food items. Local health departments statewide were requested to conduct audits of retail food outlets and other venues to see if recalled product was still available for sale.	State (or territory or Freely Associated State of the Pacific) public health agency	92	08/08/2007	08/31/2007	<p>ISSUE: Collaboration between OPA and PHPER media contacts was unclear, resulting in some miscommunication regarding the news conference, as well as, no formal information dissemination mechanism to higher leadership levels regarding the news conference.</p> <p>CORRECTIVE ACTION: A vertical command chain system of communication has been established. It is important that all involved understand the system and that information flows up and down the chain very quickly and seamlessly.</p> <p>CORRECTIVE ACTION: On February 19, 2008 a formal Drill was conducted to test the "vertical chain of command" corrective action identified in the Botulism AAR through the establishment and activation of the Department</p>	02/19/2008	172



								Operation Center.		
2	Real Incident	In August 2007 the ISDH responded to potential MRSA outbreak. A confirmed outbreak of methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus Aureus occurred among members of a high school football team. The ISDH collaborated with school officials, team physician, and the local health department to provide appropriate infection control measures, educational information, and media interviews.	State (or territory or Freely Associated State of the Pacific) public health agency	2	08/25/2007	09/13/2007	ISSUE: Need to ensure that local health departments of all jurisdictions are informed of developing situations.  CORRECTIVE ACTION: Ensure communication capabilities through multiple means. Test communications capabilities through a formal DRILL.  CORRECTIVE ACTION: On December 18, 2007 a formal Drill was conducted to test the ISDH ability to communicate effectively with every county in the State. This Drill emphasized communication through the 800MHz radio. The ISDH communicated effectively with 86% of health departments.	12/18/2007	96	

#### Comments (Optional)

--